

## **COURSE/PROGRAM EVALUATION FORM**

Course/Program:Carpentry Refresher	Location:	SIIT Saskatoon
Instructor: Richard Dickenson	Date of evaluation:	April 12/17

## Instructions:

- 1. A designated person will distribute and collect completed forms. Forms will be placed in an envelope which will be sealed and returned to the Dean/Coordinator of the department.
- 2. The Instructor should be absent from the room during the evaluation.
- 3. Each student will complete an evaluation form.
- 4. Complete a separate form for each Instructor.
- 5. All information provided by the students is confidential. The Instructors will only see the compiled information.

## Provide your comments for the following questions. Write on the back of the paper if needed.

1. Did you find the learning materials to be effective and to meet your learning needs?

9 students stated "yes"

Students commented the following

- "The instructor was very helpful in making it more easier to understand"
- "Yes, the instructor had way easier formulas/solutions than Sask Poly"
- "Precisely"
- "Yes, very helpful"
- "Yes, it did"
- "Yes, maybe a little more time to absorb material again"
- 2. Did you find that the time allowed, and the teaching methods used, met your learning needs?

7 students stated yes

Students commented the following

- "Very much so"
- "That's right"
- "Yes, for me I found the time enough"
- "No, extend program would help a lot for studying for important exam"
- Well maybe one more week would have done, but it is okay. I learned quite a bit from Richard. He explained everything perfectly"
- "Teaching method was good, but for me a little more time would help"
- 2. Was the instructor prepared and able to teach the learning materials effectively?

9 student stated yes

Students commented the following

- "No nonsense approach"
- "Indubitably"
- "Definitely"
- "Yes, the instructor was helpful and prepared"

- "Yes, Richard explained everything perfectly"
- 4. What was done in this course/program that most helped your learning?

0 student stated yes

Students commented the following

- "Each subject was clearly explained"
- "It was delivered effectively."
- "Skipping irrelevant ways of answering questions and doing it the simplest way possible which is just as accurate."
- "Instructor taught how to cut out unnecessary steps in math questions."
- "Broke down the math, easier to understand."
- "Helped understand the wording of questions"
- "Math."
- "Drawing diagrams on board and doing code Q's."
- "Helped eliminate math that was not needed and simplified it"
- "No comment"
- "The way the instructor broke everything down"
- "Quicker ways to do the questions. Better understanding of what they're asking and how to find key words"
- 5. What could have been done differently to make the course/program better?

Student stated yes

Students commented the following

- "Lengthen the course time"
- "Nothing"
- "Wouldn't change a thing"
- "More time could have been used, but time given was used effectively"
- "Can't think of anything"
- "All around a good course"
- "More time"
- "No comment"
- "I think it was done as well as it could be"
- "Material given a month in advance to read/absorb so when in class it is more refreshed. More time to absorb material"
  - 6. If you have other comments about the course/program/instructor, please provide here.

## Students commented the following

- "The instructor was great in delivering the material information"
- "He provided the necessary information effectively and efficiently"
- "Best instructor we could have asked for. Highly recommend bringing him back for anyone who has struggled in getting their red seal"
- "Great instructor"
- "Course is great. Program will help a lot of people. Richard was very helpful with a positive attitude"
- "The instructor made the course more understandable"
- "A lot of material to study"
- "Was very helpful"
- "No comment"
- "Instructor is excellent"
- "Great course!"